IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

WEDNESDAY, THE 11TH JULY 2007 / 20TH ASHADHA 1929

RP.No. 629 of 2007(G)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN WPC.13503/2007
.....

PETITIONER/APPELLANT/PETITIONER IN THE W.P.

PARAMESWARAN,
MANAGING PARTNER, SREE LAKSHMI AGENCIES,
KODUNGALLOOR, THRISSUR.

BY ADV. SRI.B.KRISHNA MANI

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS IN THE WP
---

1. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER (APPEALS),
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCIAL TAXES,
ERNAKULAM.

2. THE COMMERCIAL TAX OFFICER,
KODUNGALLUR.

3. THE DEPUTY TAHSILDAR (RR),
KODUNGALLUR.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.C.K.GOVINDAN

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ALONG WITH RP NOS.637 & 638/2007 ON 11/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

.....

R.P. No.629/2007 in W.P.(C) No.13503/2007,
R.P. No.637/2007 in W.P.(C) No.13502/2007 &
R.P. No.638/2007 in W.P.(C) No.13501/2007

.....

Dated this the 11th day of July, 2007.

ORDER

Review is filed on the ground that Annexure I notification was not considered in the judgment. Obviously this court did not consider what was not produced in court. It is seen that notification produced by the petitioner is issued after impugned orders are issued. Consequently the appellate authority who passed conditional stay orders also was not aware of the notification. These R.Ps. are accordingly disposed of leaving freedom to the petitioner to apply for modification of stay orders before the appellate authority based on Annexure I notification produced in court. There will be direction to the appellate authority to consider and pass orders on petitioner's application within two weeks from the date of filing the same.

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR
Judge

pms